

How to set up LLVM-style RTTI for your class hierarchy

Section author: Sean Silva < silvas@purdue.edu>

Contents

- · How to set up LLVM-style RTTI for your class hierarchy
 - Background
 - Basic Setup
 - Concrete Bases and Deeper Hierarchies

Background

LLVM avoids using C++'s built in RTTI. Instead, it pervasively uses its own hand-rolled form of RTTI which is much more efficient and flexible, although it requires a bit more work from you as a class author.

A description of how to use LLVM-style RTTI from a client's perspective is given in the <u>Programmer's Manual</u>. This document, in contrast, discusses the steps you need to take as a class hierarchy author to make LLVM-style RTTI available to your clients.

Before diving in, make sure that you are familiar with the Object Oriented Programming concept of "is-a".

Basic Setup

This section describes how to set up the most basic form of LLVM-style RTTI (which is sufficient for 99.9% of the cases). We will set up LLVM-style RTTI for this class hierarchy:

```
class Shape {
public:
    Shape() {};
    virtual double computeArea() = 0;
};

class Square : public Shape {
    double SideLength;
public:
    Square(double S) : SideLength(S) {}
    double computeArea() /* override */;
};

class Circle : public Shape {
    double Radius;
public:
    Circle(double R) : Radius(R) {}
    double computeArea() /* override */;
};
```

The most basic working setup for LLVM-style RTTI requires the following steps:

1. In the header where you declare Shape, you will want to #include "llvm/Support/Casting.h", which

declares LLVM's RTTI templates. That way your clients don't even have to think about it.

```
#include "llvm/Support/Casting.h"
```

2. In the base class, introduce an enum which discriminates all of the different classes in the hierarchy, and stash the enum value somewhere in the base class.

Here is the code after introducing this change:

You will usually want to keep the Kind member encapsulated and private, but let the enum ShapeKind be public along with providing a getKind() method. This is convenient for clients so that they can do a switch over the enum.

A common naming convention is that these enums are "kind"s, to avoid ambiguity with the words "type" or "class" which have overloaded meanings in many contexts within LLVM. Sometimes there will be a natural name for it, like "opcode". Don't bikeshed over this; when in doubt use Kind.

You might wonder why the Kind enum doesn't have an entry for Shape. The reason for this is that since Shape is abstract (computeArea() = 0;), you will never actually have non-derived instances of exactly that class (only subclasses). See Concrete Bases and Deeper Hierarchies for information on how to deal with non-abstract bases. It's worth mentioning here that unlike dynamic_cast<>, LLVM-style RTTI can be used (and is often used) for classes that don't have v-tables.

3. Next, you need to make sure that the Kind gets initialized to the value corresponding to the dynamic type of the class. Typically, you will want to have it be an argument to the constructor of the base class, and then pass in the respective XXXKind from subclass constructors.

Here is the code after that change:

```
class Shape {
public:
    /// Discriminator for LLVM-style RTTI (dyn_cast<> et al.)
    enum ShapeKind {
        SquareKind,
        CircleKind
      };
private:
    const ShapeKind Kind;
public:
      ShapeKind getKind() const { return Kind; }

- Shape() {};
+ Shape(ShapeKind K) : Kind(K) {};
```

```
virtual double computeArea() = 0;
};
class Square : public Shape {
  double SideLength;
public:
 Square(double S) : SideLength(S) {}
+ Square(double S) : Shape(SquareKind), SideLength(S) {}
  double computeArea() /* override */;
};
class Circle : public Shape {
   double Radius;
public:
- Circle(double R) : Radius(R) {}
+ Circle(double R) : Shape(CircleKind), Radius(R) {}
  double computeArea() /* override */;
};
```

4. Finally, you need to inform LLVM's RTTI templates how to dynamically determine the type of a class (i.e. whether the isa<>/dyn_cast<> should succeed). The default "99.9% of use cases" way to accomplish this is through a small static member function classof. In order to have proper context for an explanation, we will display this code first, and then below describe each part:

```
class Shape {
 public:
   /// Discriminator for LLVM-style RTTI (dyn cast<> et al.)
   enum ShapeKind {
     SquareKind,
     CircleKind
   };
 private:
   const ShapeKind Kind;
   ShapeKind getKind() const { return Kind; }
   Shape(ShapeKind K) : Kind(K) {};
   virtual double computeArea() = 0;
  static bool classof(const Shape *) { return true; }
};
class Square : public Shape {
   double SideLength;
   Square(double S) : Shape(SquareKind), SideLength(S) {}
   double computeArea() /* override */;
+ static bool classof(const Square *) { return true; }
+ static bool classof(const Shape *S) {
    return S->getKind() == SquareKind;
+ }
};
 class Circle : public Shape {
   double Radius;
   Circle(double R) : Shape(CircleKind), Radius(R) {}
   double computeArea() /* override */;
+ static bool classof(const Circle *) { return true; }
+ static bool classof(const Shape *S) {
     return S->getKind() == CircleKind;
+
+
};
```

Basically, the job of classof is to return true if its argument is of the enclosing class's type. As you can see, there are two general overloads of classof in use here.

- The first, which just returns true, means that if we know that the argument of the cast is of the
 enclosing type at compile time, then we don't need to bother to check anything since we already
 know that the type is convertible. This is an optimization for the case that we statically know the
 conversion is OK.
- 2. The other overload takes a pointer to an object of the base of the class hierarchy: this is the "general case" of the cast. We need to check the Kind to dynamically decide if the argument is of (or derived from) the enclosing type.

To be more precise, let classof be inside a class C. Then the contract for classof is "return true if the argument is-a C". As long as your implementation fulfills this contract, you can tweak and optimize it as much as you want.

Although for this small example setting up LLVM-style RTTI seems like a lot of "boilerplate", if your classes are doing anything interesting then this will end up being a tiny fraction of the code.

Concrete Bases and Deeper Hierarchies

For concrete bases (i.e. non-abstract interior nodes of the inheritance tree), the Kind check inside classof needs to be a bit more complicated. Say that SpecialSquare and OtherSpecialSquare derive from Square, and so ShapeKind becomes:

```
enum ShapeKind {
   SquareKind,
+ SpecialSquareKind,
+ OtherSpecialSquareKind,
   CircleKind
}
```

Then in Square, we would need to modify the classof like so:

```
static bool classof(const Square *) { return true; }
- static bool classof(const Shape *S) {
- return S->getKind() == SquareKind;
- }
+ static bool classof(const Shape *S) {
+ return S->getKind() >= SquareKind &&
+ S->getKind() <= OtherSpecialSquareKind;
+ }</pre>
```

The reason that we need to test a range like this instead of just equality is that both SpecialSquare and OtherSpecialSquare "is-a" Square, and so classof needs to return true for them.

This approach can be made to scale to arbitrarily deep hierarchies. The trick is that you arrange the enum values so that they correspond to a preorder traversal of the class hierarchy tree. With that arrangement, all subclass tests can be done with two comparisons as shown above. If you just list the class hierarchy like a list of bullet points, you'll get the ordering right:

```
| Shape
| Square
| SpecialSquare
| OtherSpecialSquare
| Circle
```