### Common Run-time Check Proposal

John Criswell

May 9, 2012

# 1 Introduction

There is growing interest in the LLVM community for creating programs with memory-safety run-time checks. Debugging tools such as ASan and SAFECode instrument programs with memory safety checks to locate programmer errors. The Clang compiler inserts light-weight checks into code to find simple errors with little overhead. Safe language implementations insert run-time checks into code to enforce array bounds checking as required by their language specifications. Security researchers use LLVM to develop faster versions of memory safety checks in the hopes of one day making such checks usable in production systems.

At their core, all of these systems do the same thing: they insert run-time checks to verify a) that getelementptr (GEP) instructions do not create buffer oveflows and/or b) that instructions accessing memory access valid memory objects.

Since there are optimizations from which all of these tools would benefit, it would make sense to create a common set of run-time checks for LLVM with a common set of optimization passes to optimize the placement of the checks. Individual tools can then specialize the checks as necessary.

# 2 Run-time Checks and Instrumentation

Below are the set of common run-time checks.

• lscheck (void \* ptr, int length):

The lscheck call is used to instrument loads and stores to memory (including LLVM atomic operations). It ensures that the pointer points within a valid memory object and that the load or store will not read/write past the end of the memory object.

- fastlscheck (void \* ptr, void \* start, int objsize, int len): The fastlscheck() function is identical to the lscheck() function in functionality; the difference is that fastlscheck() is passed the bounds of the memory object into which the pointer should point. It is an optimized version of lscheck().
- gepcheck (void \* src, void \* dest): The gepcheck() function takes the source pointer operand and result of a GEP instruction; the check first determines whether the source pointer is within a valid memory object and, if so, that the destination pointer is within the same memory object. It is primarily used for performing array and structure indexing checks on LLVM getelementptr instructions.
- fastgepcheck (void \* src, void \* dest, void \* base, int objsize): The fastgepcheck() function is a fast version of the gepcheck() function that is given the bounds of the memory object into which the GEP source and result pointers should point.
- funccheck (void \* f()):

The funccheck() function determines if a function pointer belongs to the set of valid function pointer targets for an indirect function call. It is used to enforce control-flow integrity.

• free\_check (void \* p):

The **free\_check()** function checks that the pointer points to the beginning of a valid heap object. It is used to catch invalid **free** calls for allocators not known to tolerate invalid deallocation requests.

In addition to run-time checks, there are support functions that aid in implementing the aforementiond checks:

• pool\_register\_heap(void \* p, int size)
pool\_register\_stack(void \* p, int size)
pool\_register\_global(void \* p, int size):

The pool\_register() family of functions inform the run-time of new memory object allocations. For some systems, these will be no-ops. For others, the bounds information of the memory object will be registered in a side data structure.

• pool\_unregister(void \* p): The pool\_unregister() function informs the run-time system of a memory deallocation. For some systems, it may be a no-on. For others, it

ory deallocation. For some systems, it may be a no-op. For others, it may remove the bounds information of a memory object from a side data structure or overwrite the contents of the memory object with a special value.

```
• pool_reregister():
```

The pool\_reregister() function unregisters a memory object and registers a new object of the specified size. It is designed to support allocators like realloc().

## 3 Examples Applications

This section describes how the checks could be used in various tools.

### 3.1 Clang Bounds Checking

The Clang compiler can emit bounds checks when the **-fbounds-checking** option is used. These checks are not meant to be thorough; they merely provide a small amount of sanity checking and security to code. They should be fast and not cause false positives. As a result, the checks are only added to pointers that are within the same function as the allocation sites from which the pointers were derived.

The Clang compiler currently emits inline code using the objectsize intrinsic to perform these checks. However, it could instead emit gepcheck calls to perform those same checks. A generic optimization that converts calls of gepcheck to fastgepcheck would identify those checks that can be performed quickly. A Clang-specific pass could then remove those checks on pointers that do not refer to locally allocated memory objects (i.e., the checks that were not converted into fastgepcheck).

This would enable Clang's run-time checks to be optimized using the same optimizations that are being developed for ASan and SAFECode. These optimizations can remove checks on trivially safe GEPs, hoist checks out of monotonic loops, and prove GEPs safe statically using static array bounds checking.

#### 3.2 ASan

The Address Sanitizer (ASan) is a memory safety error debugging tool that has been integrated into LLVM. It is primarily designed to detect invalid loads and stores and uses various unsound run-time techniques to detect and report array bounds violations and dangling pointer uses. ASan does this by using a side data structure (called the shadow memory) to record whether a memory address belongs to a valid memory object. Checks on loads and stores examine the shadow memory to see if a memory address belongs to a valid memory object.

Using the proposed common infrastructure, ASan would use a shared LLVM in-

strumentation pass to instrument all load, store, and atomic intrinsic operations with lscheck calls. Additionally, it would use another generic instrumentation pass to insert pool\_register and pool\_unregister calls.

Common optimization passes would optimize these run-time checks. Such optimizations might hoist checks out of loops, convert lscheck checks to fastlscheck checks, or remove checks that will always pass at run-time. Optimizations to remove pool\_register calls would also improve performance; memory objects that are always accessed in a safe manner would not need to be registered.

Note that many of these optimizations are the same ones that would optimize the Clang bounds checks. In fact, a program could be compiled with both the ASan and Clang run-time checks, and a common set of optimizations would optimize the checks from both.

Naturally, ASan's implementation of the run-time checks would need to be specialized. ASan's implementation of lscheck would need to check to see if the pointer used by the load or store points into a valid memory object by consulting the shadow memory. For speed, ASan would want the implementation of lscheck inlined, so an ASan-specific transform pass might transform calls to lscheck into inline code that performs the check. ASan might also transform pool\_register calls. The pool\_register\_stack call, for example, might be used to tell ASan where to insert guard memory around stack objects; calls to pool\_register\_heap may just be removed since ASan opts to use its own malloc() implementation to update shadow memory on allocation and deallocation.

### 3.3 SAFECode

The SAFECode compiler is an extension of Clang that instruments code with memory safety checks; its checks are designed to be sound and to catch invalid loads and stores, array and structure indexing violations, indirect function pointer violations, and uninitialized pointer uses. SAFECode is able to employ optimizations such as automatic pool allocation and type-safe load/store check elimination to reduce performance overhead.

A unique feature of SAFECode is that it can permit out-of-bounds pointers so long as they are not used in a memory access operation. To do this, SAFECode changes out-of-bound pointers into special values called *rewrite pointers* that point to unmapped memory. If the out-of-bound pointer is ever used to access memory, either a lscheck will detect the error, or an MMU fault will detect the error.

Similar to ASan, SAFECode would instrument code using generic instrumentation passes. It would use one pass to insert lscheck calls, another to insert gepcheck calls, a third to insert funccheck calls, and a fourth pass to insert free\_check calls. Like ASan and Clang, SAFECode would optimize the runtime checks using the generic run-time check optimization passes. Note that optimization passes for SAFECode would benefit both ASan and Clang since SAFECode uses a superset of the checks that ASan and Clang use.

Like ASan, SAFECode would need to specialize the run-time checks. SAFE-Code uses multiple side data structures to track exact object bounds meta-data, so it would need to add parameters to the lscheck and gepcheck checks. To support the out-of-bound pointer rewriting feature, SAFECode's implementation of gepcheck would not terminate the program when an indexing error is detected; a gepcheck that passes would return the dest parameter while a gepcheck that fails would return a rewrite pointer. A special transform pass would replace the use of a pointer checked with gepcheck with the return value of the gepcheck call. In this way, if a gepcheck fails, the program continue to run, but if the return value is out-of-bounds, it will be detected when it is used for a load or store.